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Dynamic force spectroscopy (DFS) based on atomic force microscopy, which enables us to obtain information on the interaction potential between molecules such as antigen–antibody complexes at the single-molecule level, is a key technique for advancing molecular science and technology. However, to ensure the reliability of DFS measurement, its basic mechanism must be well understood. We examined the effect of the molecular linker used to fix the target molecule to the atomic force microscope cantilever, i.e., the force direction during measurement, for the first time, which has not been discussed until now despite its importance. The effect on the lifetime and barrier position, which can be obtained by DFS, was found to be ~10 and ~50%, respectively, confirming the high potential of DFS.

1. Introduction

The understanding of molecular interactions in the formation of specific bonds based on reciprocal recognition is a key factor for understanding and utilizing the functions of molecules such as DNA and antigen–antibody complexes.1–3 The probing of the interaction potential between two molecules is the basis for describing molecular reactions.1–5 However, since functional molecules generally have complex structures, and local characteristics and reaction processes determine the observed molecular interactions, it is difficult to understand their functions from the results of conventional macroscopic analyses, which provide averaged information.

Dynamic force spectroscopy (DFS) is a technique based on atomic force microscopy (AFM), which provides information on the interaction potential landscape between two molecules at the single-molecule level.3–5,10 For example, since molecules such as streptavidin and avidin have multiple bonding sites, it is difficult to separately probe them in detail using conventional macroscopic methods which gives averaged value. In DFS, using the variation in the crosslinkers, selective analysis of the bonding sites has been realized, and direct and bridging interactions at each reaction site in a ligand–receptor system have been distinguished and individually analyzed.8–11

In DFS based on the Bell–Evans model,4,12,13 the unbinding force applied to a pair of molecules is increased at a constant rate, and the force required to rupture the bond is measured. However, although the analysis is based on the assumption that the force is applied to a sample placed immediately below the probe tip [Fig. 1(a)], the molecular linker used to fix the target molecule to the cantilever may change the direction of the force [Fig. 1(b)]. Despite its importance, its effect on measurement has not been closely examined until now. To ensure the reliability of DFS measurement, it must be thoroughly understood and should be taken into account.

Here, we report the first results of simulations carried out to examine the effect of the direction of the force applied to a target molecule through a molecular linker.

2. Experimental procedure and theory

Figure 1 shows schematic illustrations of DFS measurement. As shown in Fig. 1(a), after forming a ligand–receptor structure, it is ruptured with the force applied by an atomic force microscope. In the DFS method, as described above, the unbinding force applied to a molecular bond is increased at a constant rate (loading rate r), and the force required to rupture the molecular bond is measured.8,9 The modal rupture force \( f^* \) is obtained as the most frequent rupture force of the histogram in the rupture force [right side in Fig. 1(a)].
Figure 1(c) shows the relationship between $f^*$ and the logarithm of $r$. From the reciprocal value of the slope of the straight line and its intercept, the position of the potential barrier landscape $x_b$ [Fig. 1(d)] and the lifetime of the bond $\tau$ can be obtained.17,8,11,12)

When the molecular linker used to fix the molecule to the atomic force microscope tip is long, the coupling may be located at a distance $d$ below the atomic force probe as shown in Fig. 1(b). In this case, when the cantilever is pulled up, the force $f$ is applied obliquely to the target molecule, which results in a discrepancy in the rupture force measured by AFM as described above.

Figure 1(e) shows an illustration of a typical system with a biotin ligand and streptavidin receptor, which is widely used in atomic force microscope measurements, i.e., steric barrier to hamper the natural unfolding of the molecular linker with successive segments exhibiting cooperativity.16)

Thus, the differential equation for $S$, the probability that two molecules coupled at $t = 0$ remain coupled at $t = t$, and its solution are written in terms of $k$ as

$$
dS(t) \over dt = -k(t)S(t),$$

$$
S(t) = S(0) \exp \left[-\int_0^t k(t') dt' \right] = \exp \left[-\int_0^t k(t') dt' \right].$$

where $S(0) = 1$ was used. Since the loading rate is constant ($r = r_c$) and feedback is applied to the measured force $f_z$, then $f_z$ can be written as,

$$
f_z = \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 + d^2}} f = r_c t.
$$

Therefore, the distribution of the rupture force $f_z$ can be written as

$$
kS(t) = kS(f_z).
$$

Then, the modal rupture force $f^*_z$ that gives the maximum value of $kS(t)$ is expressed as

$$
f^*_z = \frac{k_b T}{x_b} \ln r_c + \frac{k_b T}{x_b} \ln \left( \frac{x_b t^c_{off}}{k_b T} \right),
$$

where $t^c_{off}$ is the lifetime under the condition of $f_z = 0$ if $d$ is nonzero and is given by

$$
t^c_{off} = \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)_{f_z=0}.
$$
function of $d$

Next, we examined how a molecular linker affects the accuracy of the obtained $x_0$ and $\tau$. Figure 4 shows the relationship between $f^*$ and the logarithm of $r_c$ for $L_0 = 30\,\text{nm}$, which is given by Eq. (8). First, a linear relationship remains even when $d$ is nonzero. Secondly, the slopes observed experimentally were similar for different values of $d$. From the reciprocal value of the slope of the straight line and its intercept, as explained using Fig. 1(d), $x_0$ and $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ were obtained, respectively, which are summarized in Table I. $x_0$ increases with increasing $d$, and the increase in $x_0$ from its value when $d = 0$ was $\sim 15\%$ for $d = 10\,\text{nm}$ and $\sim 20\%$ for $d = 15\,\text{nm}$. These values are sufficiently small to ensure the validity of DFS analysis.

On the other hand, $\tau$ decreased with increasing $d$, whose effect was larger than that on $x_0$. The reduction in $\tau$ from its value when $d = 0$ was $\sim 20\%$ for $d = 10\,\text{nm}$ and $\sim 30\%$ for $d = 15\,\text{nm}$. This is due to the change in the intercept caused by the change in the slope having a greater effect. Values of $\tau$ have been scattered over four orders of magnitude in past works. Here, it has been clearly shown that the molecular linker does not cause such large scattering, which is therefore considered to be caused by factors such as the method of fixing the sample molecule to the substrate, the pH of the solvent, and the modification of the energy landscape, which cannot be simply treated by the Bell–Evans model. The lifetime, which is determined by the potential height, is sensitive to changes in the potential landscape because the rupture rate $k$ has the exponential form shown in Eq. (3). These factors should be investigated by DFS with detailed theoretical analysis. As has been shown, when $d$ is nonzero and the atomic force microscope cantilever is retracted, a force $f$ is applied to the coupled molecule at the angle of $\theta = \arctan(c/d)$. Therefore, two-dimensional mapping of the force, which provides the relationship between $d$ and $f^*$, and thus $\theta$ and $f^*$, may give more detailed information on such interactions at local sites. This issue is left for future work.

Similar calculations can be carried out for different $L_0$ because Eq. (1) has the single parameter of $L/L_0$ for the molecular linker. For example, for $L_0 = 90\,\text{nm}$, $d = 0, 15, 30$, and $45\,\text{nm}$ give the same values of $f^*$ as those obtained for $L_0 = 30\,\text{nm}$ with $d = 0, 5, 10$, and $15\,\text{nm}$, respectively. Therefore, $L_0$ cannot be theoretically optimized. However,
when the molecular linker does not have a sufficient length, since the effects of the cantilever apex and the size of the receptor cannot be neglected, the use of a relatively long molecular linker is considered to produce a better measurement condition. On the other hand, for a long $L_0$, a coupling may also be formed at neighboring sites with different values of $d$, depending on the density of molecules on the substrate surface. Therefore, $L_0$ should be chosen in consideration of the measurement conditions.

4. Conclusion

We examined the effect of the molecular linker used to fix the target molecule to the atomic force microscope cantilever used in DFS, i.e., the force direction during measurement, for the first time, which has not been discussed until now despite its importance. The effect was found to be small, confirming the high potential of DFS. Further advances in the DFS technique are expected to enable more detailed analysis of the molecular interactions at the single-molecule level.
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